

Full Length Research Paper

Renewable energy potentials of the municipal solid waste generated in Gombe-Metropolis

¹Isah, Mohammed, ¹Ahmed, Chinede Abdullahi, ¹Istifanus, Victor, and ^{*2}Adamu, Sani Jauro

¹Department of Environmental Management Technology, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, BAUCHI, Bauchi State, Nigeria.

²Department of Planning, Research & Statistics, Ministry of Environment & Forest Resources, PMB 0188 Gombe (moenv.gm.gov.ng), Gombe State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding Author E-mail: sanidaddy@gmail.com

Received 3 June 2021; Accepted 5 July 2021; Published 15 July 2021

ABSTRACT: An investigation to determine the renewable energy generating potentials of the municipal solid waste in the Gombe metropolis was conducted in an effort to harness the growing quantity of solid waste generated in the metropolis. The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM D3172 and ASTM D3176) were used to determine the potential energy stored in the municipal solid waste samples collected from INEX cleaners and GOSEPA dumpsites using physical, proximate, and ultimate analysis model equations, (2.0-2.4). While the percentage of carbon and hydrogen contents of the sampled solid waste was determined using Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) model equations 1 and 2 using proximate analysis results. The energy recovery potentials of the two dumpsites that were studied show that a total of 439,577 kWh/day of electricity can be generated from both INEX (361,963.2kWh/day) and GOSEPA (77,613.8kWh/day) by burning 909.13kWh/tones of solid waste with INEX having (509.88kWh/tones) and GOSEPA (399.25kWh/tones) which translate into generating 18,315.7kW of energy, with INEX producing (15,081.8kW) and GOSEPA (3,233.9kW),

and based on the average household electricity consumption of 1,095kWh/year in Gombe metropolis, and assuming no energy loss, the total electricity generated could supply about 33,045.28 households with electricity daily. The investigation recommends that incineration of the municipal solid waste to generate energy should be considered as an alternative to landfilling in open dumpsites/open burning at our dumpsites, so as to mitigate the effect of climate change, and also address the missing link for sustainable solid waste management in Gombe-metropolis. The investigation also recommends that the Gombe State government should try and establish Gombe Power Holdings Company that would utilize the quantum of municipal solid waste that is generated in Gombe-metropolis to provide more electrical energy in the State for the growth of small and medium scale enterprises/companies.

Keywords: Gombe metropolis, municipal solid waste generated, renewable energy potentials

INTRODUCTION

The world in the 21st century is facing challenges of increasing energy demand, waste management and global climate change, as such substituting fossil fuel with municipal solid waste derived energy would be a promising strategy to simultaneously meet part of our energy needs, manage municipal solid waste (MSW) and mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emission. The severe impacts of climate change may still be avoided if efforts

are made to transform current energy systems from fossil energy based to renewable energy base through energy recovery from MSW. Glover and Mattingly (2009) acknowledged that the growing climate, energy and environmental concerns coupled with technological developments and regulatory changes, have ignited new interest in municipal solid waste as an energy source with the potential to provide renewable energy while reducing

greenhouse gas emissions. African cities are faced with the challenges of sustainable energy supply (Tanko, 2015). Secured energy production, waste disposal, and the minimization of pollution are some of the key problems that must be addressed for sustainable cities of the future. Waste management has become one of the major issues of concern worldwide, and MSW incineration for energy recovery is now being used increasingly to treat MSW that cannot be recycled economically (Swithenbank *et al.* 2012). Energy recovery from waste entails the conversion of mostly non-recyclable wastes into usable heat, electricity, or fuel through a variety of processes which include; combustion/incineration, gasification, pyrolysis, anaerobic digestion, and briquetting and landfill gas (LFG) recovery. These techniques help to reduce carbon emissions by offsetting the need for energy from fossil fuel as well as reduce methane generation from landfills (Bajracharya *et al.*, 2016). There have been rigorous efforts to meet the increasing energy demand but relying on the traditional fossil fuels alone is synonymous to taking a great risk of backward trend in modern developmental strategies. The main reason behind this assertion is that fossil fuels and other conventional energy resources are not only limited but their global reserves is declining as each day closes. The global potential of municipal solid waste (MSW) as an energy source is as yet un-quantified (Allen *et al.*, 2009). Action to reduce the impact of climate change is critical, and limiting the increase in average global temperatures to less than 2°C requires concerted global action both locally, nationally and internationally. Renewable energy has been growing rapidly in the last decade, becoming an important component of energy supply (OECD/IEA 2010); it plays a key role in mitigating global greenhouse gas emissions by radically lowering the emissions profile of the global energy system (IRENA 2015). To properly analyze and plan the use of renewable energy in African countries, there is need for accurate and documented estimates of their renewable energy potentials, as well as to identify the most suitable locations for investment and deployment in renewable energy technologies. The accuracy of this information correlates directly with the risk taken in the decision-making process. Accurate data strengthens each country's national strategy to deploy renewable energy technologies (IRENA-DBFZ 2013). This means solid waste now contains an increasingly complex mix of materials, including plastics, precious metals and hazardous materials that are difficult to deal with safely (EU, 2010). It was against this background that the present study was conceptualized to determine the energy content of solid waste in Gombe metropolis for possible electricity generation by incineration process. Hamzeh *et al.* (2011) acknowledged that about 10% of global energy supply is generated from biomass with the remaining 90% obtainable from fossil and other conventional energy resources, this development is a

clear indication that the entire world is vulnerable to serious environmental hazard if the trend is allowed to persist for a longer period of time. Converting the huge quantities of municipal solid waste generated in Gombe to electricity will increase the energy supply, energy mix and balance of Gombe metropolis. Rapid urbanization and industrialization coupled with the increasing growth in population has led to a sharp rise in the quantity of municipal solid waste (MSW) generation in most of cities of the developing nations (Arugula *et al.*, (2015). Amber *et al.*(2012) maintained that at a population growth rate of 2.03%, energy consumption and waste generation in Nigeria is expected to soar over the next few years, so also in Gombe metropolis, which is one of the most populous metropolitan city in the northeastern part of Nigeria, with population growth rate of 3.2% and urbanization rate of 3.5% per annum (NPoP'C, 2009) leading to higher MSW generation of 21,297 tons and 5,832 tons per month for INEX and GOSEPA dumpsites respectively (INEX, 2018, and GOSEPA, 2018), causing a lot of problems to man and the general environment.

Literature review

Energy recovery from MSW is defined as a waste treatment process that allows for the generation of energy in the form of electricity or heat from the wastes that would have otherwise been disposed in the landfills/dumpsites (UNEP, 2009; Amoo and Fagbenle, 2013). It is an economical and environmentally friendly approach for addressing the issues of waste management as well as the ongoing energy and climate change crisis. Among the MSW, food waste, textile, rubber, leather, wood can be the source of elevated energy value and plastic bags can have the energy equivalent to that of kerosene (Shrestha, 2011). Moreover, Amoo and Fagbenle, (2013) further pointed out that the interest in the practical applications of Waste to Energy dates back to several decades; it is hardly a new or novel idea. What is however new is the confluence of factors that have increased the attractiveness of Waste to Energy. These factors include rising oil prices, urban air pollution, and energy supply security, reduction in foreign oil imports, carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions and climate change. These considerations are not confined to a single nation or part of the world and thus render the concept of Waste to Energy as abundantly and equitably available to humanity. Renewable energy sources replenish themselves naturally without being depleted in the earth; they include hydropower, biomass energy, geothermal energy, solar energy, wind energy and ocean (tide and wave) energy. The world's growing energy need, alongside increasing population led to the continual use of fossil fuel-based energy sources (coal, oil and gas) which become problematic by creating several

challenges such as: depletion of fossil fuel reserves, greenhouse gas emissions and other environmental concerns, geopolitical and military conflicts, and the continual fuel price fluctuations. These problems will create unsustainable situations which will eventually result in potentially irreversible threat to human societies (UNFCC, 2015). Notwithstanding, renewable energy sources are the most outstanding alternative and the only solution to the growing challenges (Tiwari and Mishra, 2011). In 2012, renewable energy sources supplied 22% of the total world energy generation (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2012) which was not possible a decade ago.

Incineration/Combustion

In this process, solid waste is directly burned in combustion chambers at high temperature of usually (about 800°C and above) to avoid the deficiencies of conventional incinerators, some modern incinerators utilize higher temperatures of up to 1650°C using supplementary fuel. These reduce waste volume by 97% and convert metal and glass to ash and are the most suitable in treating healthcare wastes (MoUD_GOI, 2000). Heat from combustion can be used as energy source for generation of steam as well as electricity. Incineration has been widely applied in many developed countries, especially those with limited space for landfilling such as Japan and many European countries. Globally, about 130 million tons of waste is annually combusted in more than 600 plants in 35 countries. (MoUD_GOI, 2000) further stated that incineration reduces the mass of waste and can offset fossil-fuel use; in addition, GHG emissions are avoided, except for the small contribution from fossil carbon. Incineration is perhaps the oldest method for recovering the energy stored in MSW (MoUD_GOI, 2000).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

Location of the study area

Gombe city the capital of Gombe State is located in the northeastern part of Nigeria on coordinates 10°17'N 11°10'E. It has a total area of 52Km² (20sq mi) with a population of 377,341 people (2006 census), and the projected population of 534,314.856 people (Adamu *et al.*, 2017). Gombe metropolis, which is one of the most populous metropolitan city in the northeastern part of Nigeria, has an annual population growth rate of 3.2%, and high rate of urbanization at 3.5% per annum (NPoP'C, 2009). The state has eleven local Governments areas which include Akko, Balanga, Billiri, Dukku, Funakaye, Gombe, Kaltungo, Kwami, Nafada, Shongom

and Yamaltu-Deba.Gombe was carved out of the old Bauchi State on 1st October, 1996 by the Military Regime of General Sani Abacha (Misbahu, 2015).

INEX Cleaners dumpsite

This is a sanitary landfill located opposite Federal College of Education (Tech) Gombe along Ashaka road in Gombe metropolis (Plate 1). It is located on coordinate 11°8'36.757"E 10° 20'45.095"N and 11°8'42.73"E 10° 21'6.575"N respectively, and covers an area of 89,177.16m².

GOSEPA dumpsite

This is a burrow pit located adjacent to Grave yard along Ashaka road in Gombe metropolis (Plate 2). It is located on coordinate 11°8'50.898"E 10° 19'15.474"N and 11°8'50.522"E 10°19'13.164"N respectively. It covers an area of 28,832.19m².

Statistical tools

Data generated from this investigation was analyzed using Pearson Correlation to check the relationship between heating value and solid waste composition, and the relationship between quantity, heating value and the type of dumpsite. While Proximate and Ultimate analysis (ASTM D3172 and ASTM D3176) were used to determine solid waste moisture content, volatile matter, ash content and fixed carbon, and total nitrogen, sulphur, carbon, hydrogen and oxygen of solid waste samples from the two dumpsites respectively.

Solid waste samples collection at INEX cleaners' dumpsite

The 89,177.16m² area of the INEX dumpsite was segmented into two (2) sampling points at 120m intervals during the months of February and June, 2019. Coordinates of the sampling points were recorded. Waste samples were randomly collected from the sampling points and a composite was formed. The composite obtained were segregated and sorted into agriculture/vegetables waste, paper, spoiled organic food, plastics/polyethylene, soil, metals, glass and textiles.

Solid waste samples collection at GOSEPA dumpsite

The 28,832.19m² area of the GOSEPA dumpsite was segmented into two (2) sampling points at 120m intervals during the months of February and June, 2019. Coordinates of the sampling points were recorded. Waste

samples were randomly collected from the sampling points and a composite was formed. The composite obtained were segregated and sorted into agriculture/vegetables waste, paper, spoiled organic food, plastics/polyethene, soil, metals, glass and textiles.

RESULTS

Calculation for % of carbon in waste from INEX dumpsite using ANN model equation

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 0.97 * (\% \text{FC}) + 0.7 * (\% \text{VM} - 0.1 * (\% \text{ash}) - \\ & ((\% \text{M}) * (0.6 - 0.01 * (\% \text{M}))) \dots (1) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 0.97 * (7.20) + 0.7 * (49.4 - 0.1 * (34.29) - \\ & (38.37) * (0.6 - 0.01 * (38.37))) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 6.984 + 0.7 * (49.4 - 3.429) - ((38.37) * (0.6 \\ & - 0.3837)) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 6.984 + 0.7 * (45.971) - (38.37) * (0.2163) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 6.984 + 0.7 * (45.971) - (38.37) * (0.2163) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 6.984 + 32.1797 - 8.2994 \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 30.8643 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation for % of hydrogen in waste from INEX dumpsite using ANN model equation

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.036 * (\% \text{FC}) + 0.086 * ((\% \text{VM}) - \\ & 0.1 * (\% \text{Ash}) - 0.0035 * (1 - 0.02 * (\% \text{M})) * (\% \text{M})^2) \\ & \dots \dots \dots (2) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.036 * (7.20) + 0.086 * (49.4 - \\ & 0.1 * (34.29) - 0.0035 * (1 - 0.02 * (38.37)) * (38.37)^2) \\ \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.2592 + 0.086 * (49.4 - 3.429) - \\ & 0.0035 * (1 - 0.7674) * (1,472.25) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.2592 + 0.086 * (45.971) - 0.0035 * (1 - \\ & 0.7674) * (1,472.25) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.2592 + 0.086 * (45.971) - 0.0035 * (1 - \\ & 0.7674) * (1,472.25) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.2592 + 3.9535 - \\ & 0.0035 * (0.2326) * (1,472.25) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.2592 + 3.9535 - 1.1985 \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.2592 + 3.9535 - 1.1985 \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 3.142 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation for % of carbon in waste from GOSEPA dumpsite using ANN model equation

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 0.97 * (\% \text{FC}) + 0.7 * (\% \text{VM} - 0.1 * (\% \text{ash}) - \\ & (\% \text{M}) * (0.6 - 0.01 * (\% \text{M}))) \dots (1) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 0.97 * (8.44) + 0.7 * (42.01 - 0.1 * (37.3) - \\ & (55.01) * (0.6 - 0.01 * (55.01))) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 8.1868 + 0.7 * (42.01 - 3.73) - \\ & (55.01) * (0.6 - 0.5501) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 8.1868 + 0.7 * (38.28) - (55.01) * (0.0499) \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 8.1868 + 26.796 - (55.01) * (0.0499) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 8.1868 + 26.796 - 2.7449 \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 8.1868 + 26.796 - 2.7449 \\ \% \text{Carbon (C)} &= 32.2379 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation for % of hydrogen in waste from GOSEPA dumpsite using ANN model equation

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.036 * (\% \text{FC}) + 0.086 * (\% \text{VM}) - \\ & 0.1 * (\% \text{Ash}) - 0.0035 * (1 - 0.02 * (\% \text{M})) * (\% \text{M})^2 \\ & \dots \dots \dots (1) \\ \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.036 * (8.44) + 0.086 * (42.01) - \\ & 0.1 * (37.3) - 0.0035 * (1 - 0.02 * (55.01)) * (55.01)^2 \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.30384 + 0.086 * (42.01 - 3.73) - \\ & 0.0035 * (1 - 1.1002) * (3,026.10) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.30384 + 0.086 * (38.28) - 0.0035 * (1 - \\ & 1.1002) * (3,026.10) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.30384 + 3.2920 - 0.0035 * (- \\ & 0.1002) * (3,026.10) \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 0.30384 + 3.2920 + 1.0612 \\ \% \text{Hydrogen (H)} &= 4.65704 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation for % of oxygen in waste from INEX dumpsite

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Oxygen} &= 100 - \% \text{Ash} + \% \text{S} + \% \text{N} + \% \text{C} + \% \text{H} \\ \% \text{Oxygen} &= 100 - 34.29 + 0.092 + 4.76 + 30.8643 + \\ & 3.142 \\ \% \text{Oxygen} &= 100 - 73.1483 \\ \% \text{Oxygen} &= 26.8517 \end{aligned}$$

Calculation for % of oxygen in waste from GOSEPA dumpsite

$$\begin{aligned} \% \text{Oxygen} &= 100 - \% \text{Ash} + \% \text{S} + \% \text{N} + \% \text{C} + \% \text{H} \\ \% \text{Oxygen} &= 100 - 37.30 + 0.089 + 6.52 + 32.2379 + \\ & 4.65704 \\ \% \text{Oxygen} &= 100 - 80.80394 \\ \% \text{Oxygen} &= 19.1961 \end{aligned}$$

Lower heating value (LHV) calculation using physical analysis model equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{INEX Physical Analysis Calculation using} \\ \text{LHV} &= [88.2 P_{\text{pl}} + 40.5 (P_{\text{ga}} + P_{\text{pa}})] - 6W \\ & \dots \dots \dots (2) \\ &= [88.2 \times 18.40 + 40.5 (76.32 + 20.5)] - 6 \times 38.37 \\ &= 1,622.88 + 40.5 (96.82) - 230.22 \\ &= 1,622.88 + 3,921.2 - 230.22 = 5,313.86 \\ \text{LHV} &= 5,313.9. \\ \text{INEX Physical Analysis Calculation using} & \dots \dots \dots (2.1) \\ \text{LHV} &= 2229.91 + 7.90P_{\text{pa}} + 28.16P_{\text{pl}} + 4.87P_{\text{ga}} - 37.28W \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$$

$$=2229.91 + 7.90 \times 2.05 + 28.16 \times 18.40 + 4.87 \times 76.32 - 37.28 \times 38.37$$

$$=2229.91 + 16.195 + 518.144 + 371.6784 - 1,430.4336$$

$$=2,246.105 + 889.8224 - 1,430.4336 =3,135.9274 - 1,430.4336=1,705.49$$

$$\text{LHV}= 1,705.5$$

GOSEPA Physical Analysis Calculation using

$$\text{LHV}= [88.2 P_{pl} + 40.5 (P_{ga} + P_{pa})] - 6W$$

..... (4)

$$= [88.2 \times 11.40 + 40.5 (85.9 + 0.71)] - 6 \times 55.01 = 1,005.48 + 40.5 (86.61) - 330.06 = 1,005.48 + 3,507.705 - 330.06 = 4,513.185 - 330.06 = 4,183.125$$

$$\text{LHV}= 4,183.1.$$

GOSEPA Physical Analysis Calculation using

$$\text{LHV}= 2229.91+ 7.90P_{pa} + 28.16P_{pl} + 4.87P_{ga} - 37.28W$$

(5)

$$= 2229.91 + 7.90 \times 0.71 + 28.16 \times 11.40 + 4.87 \times 85.9 - 37.28 \times 55.01$$

$$= 2229.91 + 5.609 + 321.024 + 418.333 - 2,050.7728$$

$$=2,235.519 + 739.357 - 2,050.7728$$

$$= 2,974.876 - 2,050.7728$$

$$= 924.1032$$

$$\text{LHV}= 924.1$$

Lower heating value (LHV) calculation using proximate analysis model equations:

INEX Proximate Analysis Calculation using

$$\text{LHV}= 45V - 6W$$

..... (6)

Traditional model)

Where LHV= lower heating value
 V= Physical combustibile component content (%)
 W= Moisture content (%)

$$= 45 \times 49.40 - 6 \times 38.37$$

$$= 2,223 - 230.22$$

$$= 1,992.78$$

$$\text{LHV}= 1,992.8$$

INEX Proximate Analysis Calculation using

$$\text{LHV}= 44.75V - 5.85w + 21.5$$

.....(7)

Bento's model)

$$=44.75 \times 49.40 - 5.85 \times 38.37 + 21.2$$

$$= 2,210.65 - 224.4645 + 21.2$$

$$= 2,210.65 - 245.6645$$

$$= 1,964.98$$

$$\text{LHV} = 1,964.9$$

GOSEPA Proximate Analysis Calculation using

$$\text{LHV}= 45V - 6W$$

..... (8)

Traditional model)

$$= 45 \times 42.01 - 6 \times 55.01 = 1,890.45 - 330.06 = 1,560.39$$

$$\text{LHV}= 1,560.4.$$

GOSEPA Proximate Analysis Calculation using

$$\text{LHV}= 44.75V - 5.85w + 21.5$$

..... (9)

Bento's model)

$$=44.75 \times 42.01 - 5.85 \times 55.01 + 21.2 = 1,879.9475 - 321.8085 + 21.2 = 1,879.9475 - 321.8085 + 21.2 = 1,879.9475 - 343.0085 = 1,536.939$$

$$\text{LHV}=1,536.9$$

Lower heating value (LHV) calculation using ultimate analysis model EQUATION:

INEX Ultimate Analysis Calculation

$$\text{LHV} = 81C + 342.5(H - O/8) + 22.5S - 6(W + 9H)$$

(10)

Dulong's model)

$$= 81 \times 30.8643 + 342.5(3.142 - 26.8517/8) + 22.5 \times 0.092 - 6(38.37 + 9 \times 3.142)$$

$$= 2,500.0083 + 342.5 (3.142 - 3.3565) + 2.07 - 6(47.37 \times 3.142)$$

$$= 2,500.0083+ 342.5 \times 3.142 - 3.3565 + 2.07 - 6 \times 148.8365$$

$$= 2,500.0083+ 1,076.135 - 5.4265 - 893.019$$

$$=3,576.1433 - 5.4265 - 893.019$$

$$=3,570.7168 - 893.019$$

$$=2,677.6978$$

$$\text{LHV}= 2,677.7 \text{ kcal/kg}$$

GOSEPA Ultimate Analysis Calculation

$$\text{LHV} = 81C + 342.5(H - O/8) + 22.5S - 6(W + 9H)$$

(11)

Dulong's model)

$$= 81 \times 32.2379 + 342.5 (4.65704 - 19.1961/8) + 22.5 \times 0.089 - 6(55.01 + 9 \times 4.65704)$$

$$=2,611.2699+ 342.5 \times 4.65704 - 2.3995 + 2.0025 - 6(64.01 \times 4.65704)$$

$$=2,611.2699 + 1,595.0362 - 4.402 - 6(298.0971)$$

$$=4,206.3061 - 4.402 - 1,788.5826$$

$$=4,201.9041 - 1,788.5826$$

$$=2,413.3215$$

$$\text{LHV} =2,413.3 \text{ kcal/kg}$$

Potential power generation (electricity)

Potential Power Generation (electricity) calculation using INEX Dumpsite Potential Power Generation

$$E= \text{LHV} \times W_t \times 1000/859.845 \times n$$

..... (12)

Where LHV= lower heating value of the solid waste (kcal/kg)
 W_t = daily waste disposal (tonnes/day)
 n = conversion efficiency which is given as 0.22 (IEA, 2007)

$$E = \text{LHV} \times W_t \times 1000/859.845 \times n \quad (13)$$

$$= 1992.8 \times 709.9/24 \times 1000/859.845 \times 0.22 = 1992.8 \times 29.5792 \times 1.1630 \times 0.22 = 58,945.4298 \times 0.25586 = 15,018.777$$

$$E = 15,081.8$$

Potential electricity generation per day from INEX dumpsite:

$$= 15,081.8 \times 24$$

$$= 361,963.2 \text{ kWh/day}$$

According to Jos Electricity Distribution Company (JEDCO, 2019) average household energy consumption in Gombe is 1,095 kWh/year (3kW × 365 days). Therefore, the electricity generation from incineration of metropolis solid waste could generate electricity to the following households:

$$361,963.2/1,095 \times 365 = 120,654.4$$

The potential electricity generation per ton of metropolis solid waste (kW/ton):

$$361,963.2/709.9 = 509.879 = 509.88 \text{ kWh/ton}$$

GOSEPA Dumpsite Potential Power Generation

$$E = \text{LHV} \times W_t \times 1000/859.845 \times n \quad (14)$$

$$= 1,560.4 \times 194.4/24 \times 1000/859.845 \times 0.22 = 1,560.4 \times 8.1 \times 1.1630 \times 0.22 = 12,639.24 \times 0.25586 = 3,233.88$$

$$E = 3,233.9$$

The potential energy generation per day from GOSEPA dumpsite:

$$= 3,233.9 \times 24$$

$$= 77,613.8 \text{ kWh/day}$$

According to Jos Electricity Distribution Company (JEDCO, 2019) average household energy consumption in Gombe is 1,095 kWh/year (3kW × 365 days). Therefore, the electricity generation from incineration of metropolis solid waste could generate electricity to the following households:

$$77,613.8/1,095 \times 365 = 25,871.27$$

While the potential electricity generation per ton of metropolis solid waste (kWh/ton):

$$77,613.8/194.4 = 399.248 = 399.25 \text{ kWh/ton.}$$

DISCUSSION

The potentials for energy generation from the municipal solid waste in Gombe metropolis was determined in terms of solid waste incineration from their two officially designated solid waste disposal sites, using ASTM

standard (ASTM D3172 and ASTM D3176), and ANN Model Equations.

The result of the proximate analysis of the INEX and GOSEPA dumpsites indicated that INEX had 38.37% moisture content; 34.29% Ash content; 49.40% Volatile matter; 7.20% Fixed carbon. While that of GOSEPA had 55.01% Moisture Content; 37.30% Ash Content; 42.01 Volatile matter; 8.44% Fixed Carbon. While the result of the ultimate analysis of the INEX and GOSEPA dumpsite had indicated that INEX had 4.76% Total Nitrogen; 0.092% Sulphate; 30.8643% Carbon; 3.142% Hydrogen; 26.8517% Oxygen. The result further indicated that GOSEPA had 6.52% Total Nitrogen; 0.089% Sulphate; 32.2379% Carbon; 4.6570% Hydrogen; 19.1961% Oxygen (Plate 3 and 4). Therefore, the result above shows that waste from GOSEPA dumpsite are having higher concentration of hydrogen (4.6570%) than that of INEX (3.142%), and the higher the amount of hydrogen in a waste sample, the higher the quantity of energy it will generate, and these corroborate the findings of (Hamzeh *et al.*, 2011), and that of (Singh *et al.*, 2016) which also discovered that, the higher the quantity of oxygen in a waste sample, the lower it energy potentials.

The results obtain using model equation 2.0 and model equations 2.1 for INEX waste samples have LHV of 5,313.9kcal/kg, and 1,705.5kcal/kg respectively. It also shows that using model equation 2.0 and 2.1 for GOSEPA waste samples has LHV of 4,183.1kcal/kg, and LHV of 924.1kcal/kg respectively. Furthermore, the Traditional model equation 2.2 and Bento's model equation 2.3 for the INEX waste samples had LHV of 1,992.8kcal/kg, and LHV of 1,964.9kcal/kg respectively. While that of GOSEPA waste samples had LHV of 1,560.4kcal/kg, and LHV of 1,536.9kcal/kg respectively. Using ultimate analysis with Dulong's model equation for waste samples from INEX and GOSEPA dumpsites with LHV of 2,677.7kcal/kg, and 2,413.3kcal/kg respectively. It has been reported by (Amin *et al.*, 2011) that the proximate analysis model equations gives an accurate estimation of the lower heating values of waste samples. Therefore, this study adopted the computed LHV of the model equation 2.2 for the calculation of the energy recovery potentials of the solid waste from the two dumpsites based on its accuracy as stated earlier.

The result of the energy recovery potentials of the two dumpsites under study with the total potential electricity generation of 439,577 kWh/day from both INEX (361,963.2kWh/day) and GOSEPA (77,613.8kWh/day) by burning 909.13kWh/tonnes of solid waste with INEX having (509.88kWh/tonnes) and GOSEPA (399.25kWh/tonnes) which translate into generating 18,315.7kW with INEX producing (15,081.8kW) and GOSEPA (3,233.9kW), based on the average household electricity consumption of 1,095kWh/year for Gombe metropolis, and assuming no energy lost, the total electricity generated could supply about 33,045.28 households with electricity daily which corroborated the



Plate 3: Taking coordinates of the sampling points using GPS at INEX Cleaners Dumpsite.



Plate 4: Drying of waste samples in an Oven at the laboratory as part preparations for samples analysis.

findings of (US Energy Information Administration, 2012) which shows that the average energy generation per tonne of municipal solid waste combusted in United States is about 563kWh/tonne. Consequently this indicates the feasibility of waste to energy plant such as incineration to produce electricity.

Conclusion

The Energy generating potentials of municipal solid waste from the two major dumpsites in Gombe metropolis

were determined based on solid waste incineration using empirical models. The energy recovery potentials of the two dumpsites that were studied shows that a total of 439,577 kWh/day of electricity can be generated from both INEX (361,963.2kWh/day) and GOSEPA (77,613.8kWh/day) by burning 909.13kWh/tones of solid waste with INEX having (509.88kWh/tones) and GOSEPA (399.25kWh/tones) which translate into generating 18,315.7kW of energy, with INEX producing (15,081.8kW) and GOSEPA (3,233.9kW), and based on the average household electricity consumption of 1,095k

Wh/year in Gombe metropolis, and assuming no energy loss, the total electricity generated could supply about 33,045.28 households with electricity daily.

Recommendations

This research recommend that incineration of the municipal solid waste to generate energy should be considered as an alternative to land filling in open dumpsites/open burning at our dumpsites, so as to mitigate the effect of climate change, and also address the missing-link for the sustainable solid waste management in Gombe-metropolis. The investigation also recommend that Gombe State government should try and establish Gombe Power Holdings Company that would utilize the quantum of municipal solid waste that is generated in Gombe-metropolis to provide more electrical energy in the State for the growth of small and medium scale enterprises/companies.

REFERENCES

- Adamu SJ, Danladi M, MahmoudAB (2017). Assessing the Prevalence Rate of HIV among Juvenile Female Food Vendors in Gombe State: A Case study of Grains Merchants Market Gombe-Metropolis. *Direct Research Journal of Public Health and Environmental Technology*, Volume 2(4) Pp. 36-43, November, 2017. ISSN 4372-2603.
- Allen ZS, Lilian PK, Hugh TT (2009). 'The Biofuel potential of Municipal Waste' Department of Biological Sciences National University of Singapore Black well publishing, Singapore.
- Amber I, Kulla DM, Gukop N (2012). 'Generation Characteristics and Energy potential of Solid Municipal Waste in Nigeria' *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa Vol.23 NO.3 August 2012*.
- Amin K, Go SY (2011). Energy Potential from Municipal Solid Waste in Tanjung Langsat Landfill, Johor, Malaysia *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST) Vol. 3 No.12 December 2011*
- Amoo OL, Fagbenle RL (2013). Renewable municipal solid waste pathways for energy generation and sustainable development in the Nigerian context, *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering 2013*, 4:42 <http://www.journal-ijeee.com/content/4/1/42>.
- Arugula S, Singha CA, Balasubramaniana P, Rajkumar MA (2015). Combined Mechanical-Biological Treatment as a Green Technology for Energy Recovery from Municipal Solid Waste, 31st National Convention of Electrical Engineers, The Institute of Engineers (India), Madhya Pradesh State Centre and MANIT, Bhopal International Conference on Solid Waste Management.
- ASTM (1988). Standard Test Method for Determination of the Composition of Unprocessed Municipal Solid Waste.
- ASTM Standard D 5231-5292 (Reapproved 1998). American Society for Testing and Materials. U.S.
- Bajracharya N, Bahadur B, Bajracharya T R, Singh RM (2016). Energy from Waste: Production of selective fuel using 'A' grade coal and municipal solid waste to assess its potential for industrial applications (2016).
- EU (2010). Being wise with waste: the EU's approach to waste management, Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union ISBN 978-92-79-14297-0 doi 10.2779/93543.
- Glover B, Mattingly J (2009). 'Reconsidering Municipal Solid Waste as Renewable energy feedstock' Environmental and Energy Study Institute, 1112 16 th street, NW Suite 300 Washington DC 20036. www.eesi.org.
- HamzehY, Ashori A, Mirzaei B, Abdulkhani A, Molaei M (2011). "Current and potential capabilities of biomass for energy in Iran", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.060.
- IEA (International Energy Agency) 2010. Renewables for power generation, status and prospects < http://www.iea.org/textbase/nppdf/free/2000/renewpower_2003.pdf > [accessed April, 2017].
- IRENA-DBFZ: (2013). Biomass Potentials in Africa.
- IRENA (2015). 'REthinking Energy: Renewable Energy and Climate Change'. IRENA Headquarters C67 Office Building, Khalidiyah (32nd) Street P.O. Box 236, Abu Dhabi United Arab Emirates.
- Misbahu Sa'idu (2015). History of Industrial Development in Gombe, 1974-2011, A Published M.A Thesis Submitted to the Department of History, ABU Zaria in Partial fulfillment for the requirement for the award of M.A in History.
- Ministry of Urban Development (2000). Manual on "Municipal Solid Waste Management" CHAPTER 15 ENERGY RECOVERY FROM MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE, Government of India.
- National Population Commission (NPoP'C) (2009). "Legal Notice on Publication of 2006 Census Final Results *Federal Republic of Nigeria Official Gazette*" No. 2 Vol. 96.
- OECD/IEA (2010). World Energy Outlook 2010, IEA PUBLICATIONS, 9, rue de la Fédération, 75739 PARIS CEDEX 15, Printed in France by Soregraph, November 2010.
- Personal Discussion on the quantity of Municipal Solid Waste that are been dumped at INEX cleaners and GOSEPA dumpsites monthly in Gombe metropolis, with the INEX cleaners Head of Operations, and the Head of Department Sanitation of Gombe State Environmental Sanitation and Protection Agency (GOSEPA), Gombe State Nigeria on the 07/02/2019, at 10:45am and 03:15pm respectively.
- Personal Discussion on the Average Households Electricity Consumption in Gombe metropolis with the Head of Gombe Transmission station of Jos Electricity Distribution Company (JEDCO), Gombe State Nigeria on the 23/05/2019, 09:23am.
- Shrestha A (2011). Energy Recovery from Municipal Solid Waste by Briquetting Process: Evaluation of Physical and Combustion properties of the Fuel, Kathmandu. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology 12 (2011) 238-241*.
- Singh D, Satiga A (2016). Optimization Models for Solid Waste Management in Indian Cities: A Study, *K.N. Das et al. (eds.), Proceedings of Fourth International Conference on Soft Computing for Problem Solving, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 335, DOI 10.1007/978-81-322-2217-0_31*.
- Swithenbank J, Nasserzadeh V, Goh R (2012). WASTE MANAGEMENT AND MINIMIZATION - Solid Wastes for Power Generation Sheffield University Waste Incineration Centre, UK Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS).
- Tanko A.I (2015). Urban Energy Challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa, *Current Options in Environmental Sustainability 2016*, 20: 80-85.
- Tiwari GN, Mishra RK (2011). Advanced renewable energy sources. Royal Society of Chemistry.
- UNEP (2009) Developing Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan Training Manual Volume 4 ISWM Plan, United Nations Environmental Programme Division of Technology, Industry and Economics International Environmental Technology Centre Osaka/Shiga, Japan.
- UNFCC (2015). Adoption of the Paris agreement. Retrieved October 24, 2015, from <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/109.pdf>.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration (2012). International energy statistics. Retrieved October 18, 2015, from <http://www.eia.gov/cfapps/ipdbproject/IEDIndex3.cfm?tid=2andpid=2aid=2>